Site Selection Matrix	(Assign numerical rank 0-Max under each criterion.)				a				
		Weighing	5 Concord Rd.		207-195 Main St.		202 Old Con. Path		
Criteria	Factors	Factors	9	Score		Score		Score	
1. Location & Traffic	Centrally accessible Not Central	6 0	5.1		2.76		2.52		
(Max 12 Points/Site)		U							
	No negative traffic impacts	6	2.33	7.43	3.24	6	3.52	6.04	
	Substantial impacts	0		┨ ┣					
2. Site characteristics	Existing driveway access adequate	3	0.52		2.52		0.5		
(Max 20 points/Site)	Existing driveway access inadequate	0	0.52		2.52		0.5		
	Size & shape of site adequate	5							
	Size & shape of site limiting	0	0.95		4.7		4.95		
							_		
	Soils appear suitable for building/bearing; require verification Soils limiting for building/bearing	3	2.19	4.41	2	17.07	2.5	16.8	
	Source miniming for building/ bearing	Ű							
	Adequate Parking is easy to accommodate	4	0.5		3.7		3.95		
	Adequate Parking is NOT easy to accommodate	0							
	Allows for future expansion	5	0.25		4.15		4.9		
2. Community Complement	Does not allow for future expansion	0	0.25						
3.Community Sentiment /Considerations	Does have Architecturally Significant context Does not have Architecturally Significant context	6	5.53		3		3		
(Max 18 points/Site)									
	Meets space needs per Library Building Program	6	2.63	12.83	5.6	13.31	5.95	12	
	Does not meet space needs per Library Building Program	0							
	Supports Synergy with other Community Uses/Activities	6	4.67		4.71		3.05		
	Doesn't Support Synergy with other Community Uses/Activities	0	4.07		4.71		5.05		
4. Existing Utilities /Infrastructure	Sewer or septic service No sewer or septic service	2	2	-	2		2		
(Max 10 points/Site)									
	Electric service	2	2		2		0		
	No electric service	U							
	Telecom/fiber service	2	2	10	2	10	0	2	
	No telecom/fiber service	0	-		_		-	-	
	Water service	2	_		_				
	No water service	0	2		2		0		
	Gas service	2		-					
	No gas service	0	2	-	2		0		
	No Natural Heritage & Endangered Specicies Program (NHESP) area on				_				
5. Environmental Impacts	or adjacent to site Has NHESP area on or adjacent to site	2	2		2		2		
(Max 16 points/Site)		0							
	No Zone II area on or adjacent to site	4	0		0		0		
	Has Zone II area on or adjacent to site	0		4 -	-		_		
	No wetland area on or adjacent to site	3	0	4.67	3	10.6	3	10	
	Has wetland area on or adjacent to site	0	0		5		5		
	No known risk of flooding	4							
	Has known risk of flooding	0	0		3		4		
	No Archaeological Survey required Suspect Archaeological Survey required	3	2.67		2.6		1		
6. Permitting	No variance required	4							
/ Other Regulatory	Minimal variance required	2	0.4		3.41		3.24		
(Max 15 points/Site)	Significant variance required	0		4					
	No hazardous materials issues	4							
	Further study of hazardous materials needed	2	2	1.60	1	10.52	3.88	42.24	
	Further action needed	0		4.68		10.52		13.34	
	Special permit is not required	4	0.78		3.38		3.41		
	Special permit required	0	0.76		5.56		5.71		
	No legal conditions / use restrictions	3							
	Unresolved legal conditions /use restrictions	1	1.5		2.73		2.81		
7. Cost of Site	Building Cost are likely lower	4	0.47	-	- 2		2		
Development & Construction	Building Cost are likely higher	0					+		
(Max 16 points/Site)	Zone II area compliance easy	2	0		2		2		
	Zone II area compliance difficult	0	- 0		2		2		
	Site Cost are likely lower	4	1		1		1		
	Site Cost are likely higher	0	1	3.47	2.19	10.76	0.61	7.24	
	NO Temporary facilities cost Requires Temporary facility cost	2	0		2		2		
		0							
	Ineligible site cost are likely lower	4	2		2.57		0.63		
	Ineligible site cost are likely higher	0 TAL SCORE:		47.49		8 26		7 42	
		AL SCORE:		47.43		78.26		67.42	